

Application of symbol-operator technique for effective action computation

A.T. Banin^{1*}, N.G. Pletnev^{1†}

¹*Institute of Mathematics,
Novosibirsk, 630090, Russia,*

Abstract

In the present talk we briefly demonstrate an elegant and effective technique for calculation of the trace expansion in the derivatives of background fields. One of main advantages of the technique is manifestly (super)symmetrical and gauge invariant form of expressions on all stages of calculations. Other advantage is the universality of the calculation method. Some particular examples and results are presented.

1 Preface

Most physical applications are related to computation of a particular numerical or analytical result for a kernel or a trace of some operator. One of such problem in QFT is calculation of one-loop effective action (EA)

$$\Gamma_{1-loop} = \frac{i}{2} \text{Tr} \ln(\hat{H}), \quad (1)$$

where $\hat{H}$ is the inverse propagator (in external background fields) for the model under consideration. In most interesting cases exact calculation of the EA is impossible. In the same time the quantum calculations based on operator algebras and therefore intend calculation of operator products and functions of operators. Difficulties in such kind of calculation arise because of noncommutative properties of any operator algebra. Other problem, which is mostly related to physical models in external background fields, is the loss of manifest covariance during calculation.

The method presented in this talk pretends to be a universal solution which allows to lay described above problems aside. It is based on a conception of operator symbols. Methods of symbol-operator calculus are widely used in the deformation quantization. Unfortunately computational technique, which are used in the framework of deformation quantization rather presents purely academical interests then practical.

*atb@math.nsc.ru

†pletnev@math.nsc.ru

Shortly speaking, symbol is a function in the phase-space of the considered model, which can be associated to some operator and verse visa. Noncommutative star-product operation between symbols is quite analogous to product of the associated operators.

2 Basics

In physics, a proposal to reformulate an analysis in the operator algebras on a symbol calculus language has been introduced by Berezin [1]. A concept of symbol $\sigma(\hat{O})$ of the operator $\hat{O}$ plays the basic role in this construction. The symbol is a classical function of finite number of the phase-space variables γ^A associated with the operator $\hat{O}(\gamma)$ which has some defined ordering (we use the notations $\sigma(\hat{\gamma}_A) = \gamma_A$).

The starting point of the symbol-operator correspondence is an associative algebra of operators that defines a noncommutative space and can be described in terms of a set of operators $\hat{\gamma}_A$ and relations

$$[\hat{\gamma}_A, \hat{\gamma}_B] = \hbar \omega_{AB}(\hat{\gamma}). \quad (2)$$

In the framework of symbol-operator technique the parameter $\hbar$ plays the role of a non-commutativity parameter.

Let's associate the generators with coordinates $\hat{\gamma}_A$ and consider the algebraic structure as the formal power series in these coordinates modulo to the relations (2).

For example the known *Heisenberg-Weyl algebra* with generator set $\{\hat{\gamma}_A\} = \{\hat{P}_i, \hat{Q}^j\}$ is defined by the commutation relation $[\hat{Q}^j, \hat{P}_i] = i\hbar \delta_i^j$. An operator family of normal ordered displacement operators

$$\hat{\Omega}(p, q) =: e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}(q\hat{P}+p\hat{Q})} :, \quad (3)$$

forms a complete operator basis for any operator obeying certain conditions. Colons stand for some normal ordering. Then we can present some operator $A(\hat{P}, \hat{Q})$ in the enveloping Heisenberg algebra in the following way

$$\hat{A}(\hat{P}, \hat{Q}) = \int \frac{d^n p d^n q}{(2\pi)^n} A(p, q) \hat{\Omega}(p, q), \quad (4)$$

where coefficient $A(p, q)$ is a smooth function on T^*M , which is called the symbol of the operator $\hat{A}(\hat{P}, \hat{Q})$. It should be mentioned that definition of symbol in (4) is straightforwardly depend on the ordering rule in (3).

The illustrated above construction can be extended to a more general case. In Weyl's quantization procedure (i.e. wholly symmetric ordering) a classical observable $A(\gamma)$, some square integrable function on phase space $X = (\gamma, \omega)$, is one-to-one associated to a bounded operator $\hat{A}$ in the Hilbert space by the *Weyl mapping*

$$\hat{A} = \int_X d\mu(\gamma) A_{-s}(\gamma) \hat{\Omega}(\gamma; s). \quad (5)$$

Here parameter s encodes all information about the ordering prescription. An inverse formula which maps an operator into its symbol by *Wigner mapping*, is given by the trace formula

$$A(\gamma) = \text{Tr}(\hat{\Omega}(\gamma; -s) \hat{A}), \quad (6)$$

Both formulae (5, 6) are determined by the choice of the *Stratonowich-Weyl kernel* $\hat{\Omega}(\gamma; s)$, which is the Hermitian operator family parameterized by the parameter s and constructed from the operators $\hat{\gamma}_A$. The Stratonowich-Weyl kernel (or a *quantizer* and also a *dequantizer*) possesses by a number of properties (see for example ref. [2]). One can see from expressions (3) and (4) that a symbol can possess a parametric dependence on $\hbar$ by formal power series.

Correspondence (5) relates $\hat{A}$ to $A_{-s}(\gamma)$ via integration. In practical calculation it is also helpful to employ a differential form of the relation

$$\hat{A} = A_{-s}(-i\partial_\gamma)\hat{\Omega}(\gamma; s)|_{\gamma=0}$$

We can choose several different rules of normal ordering for operator products. For instance, the *Weyl ordering* (totally symmetrized operator product) is often a preferred choice for physical applications since it treats self-adjoint operators $\hat{P}, \hat{Q}$ symmetrically. This ordering prescription has specific features leading to a possibility to construct the real symbols for the operators (i.e. complex conjugation is an algebra anti-automorphism). Other ordering prescriptions convenient for practical calculations are the standard PQ (all $\hat{P}$ are disposed from the left of all $\hat{Q}$) and the antistandard QP .

The symbol of the non-commutative product of operators can be written as a non-local star product

$$(A \star B)(\gamma) \leftrightarrow \hat{A}\hat{B},$$

which for a constant Poisson structure is called *Moyal product* and might be treated for a particular case of Weyl ordering prescription (symmetrical) in integral and differential forms as follows

$$\begin{aligned} (A \star B)(p, q) &= \int \frac{d\xi d\eta}{2\pi\hbar} \frac{d\xi' d\eta'}{2\pi\hbar} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}S} A(\xi, \eta) B(\xi', \eta'), \\ (A \star B)(p, q) &= A(p, q) e^{i\hbar(\overleftarrow{\partial}_q \overrightarrow{\partial}_p - \overleftarrow{\partial}_p \overrightarrow{\partial}_q)} B(p, q), \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where $S = \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ q & \xi & \xi' \\ p & \eta & \eta' \end{pmatrix}$.

Of course, the non-locality of a star product is a consequence of the ordinary quantum-mechanical non-locality.

In the phase space parameterized by coordinates γ we have an analogue of algebra (2) with the *Moyal bracket*

$$[A, B]_{\text{MB}} = A \star B - B \star A = i\hbar\{A, B\} + O(\hbar^2), \quad (8)$$

So far the star product is defined only by the relations (2). Unfortunately, all these results are formal in the sense that they do not offer a receipt for the procedure of the star-product construction. The basic problem in attempt to generalize the exponentiation idea (7) to a non-constant Poisson structure is that $\overleftarrow{\partial}, \overrightarrow{\partial}$ no longer commute with the ω . Nevertheless, recall that for $\hat{A}, \hat{B}$ in some Lie algebra and for $\exp(\hat{A})\exp(\hat{B}) = \exp(\hat{C})$ the Campbell-Baker-Hausdorff formula allows to define $\hat{C}$ as a formal series whose terms are elements in the Lie algebra generated by $\hat{A}, \hat{B}$. The associativity of such a way defined star product is induced from the associativity of the group multiplication.

3 Construction

Definitions and discussion presented in the previous section might have remained just a toy for mathematicians if they didn't have a powerful practical application. For our purposes, the most important formula, which takes place in the framework of the symbol calculus due to the special properties of the Stratonowich-Weyl kernel, is the trace definition

$$\text{Tr} \hat{A} = \int_X d\mu(\gamma) A(\gamma), \quad (9)$$

where $X = (\gamma, \omega)$ is the phase space with invariant measure $d\mu$ and $A(\gamma)$ is some symbol of operator $\hat{A}$. It should be noted that the definition (9) is correct for any allowed choice of the Stratonowich-Weyl kernel and for any ordering prescription in the enveloping algebra (2). According to Eq (9) finding of trace of the operator $\hat{A}$ is reduced to constructing the corresponding symbol $A(\gamma)$. For example a symbol of a some operator function would be written as a Taylor series

$$\sigma(f(\hat{A})) = \sum_n \frac{1}{n!} f^{(n)} A \star A \star \dots \star A,$$

and to find the trace of the corresponding operator one have to perform the integration of this symbol according to (9). However, for the operators having intricate structure, obtaining its symbol via explicit evaluation of Wigner's mapping appear intractable. Moreover, the star product formulae (7) are very unhandy for practical computations. But, since the differential form involves an exponential of derivative operators, it may be evaluated through translation of the function arguments. This can be easily seen from (7), which might be rewritten in the following form

$$(A \star B)(p, q) = A(p - \frac{i}{2}\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial q_1}, q + \frac{i}{2}\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial p_1}) B(p_1, q_1)|_{p_1=p, q_1=q} = A(p_{\hbar}, q_{\hbar}) B(p_{\hbar}, q_{\hbar}) \times 1, \quad (10)$$

where quantities

$$p_{\hbar} = p - \frac{i}{2}\hbar \vec{\partial}_q \cdot q_{\hbar} = q + \frac{i}{2}\hbar \vec{\partial}_p \cdot p_{\hbar} \quad (11)$$

were introduced. They should be considered as a special right regular representation of generating operators $\hat{P}, \hat{Q}$.

In the general case, we can rewrite expression (7) in a more symmetrical form

$$(A \star B)(\gamma) = A(\vec{\gamma}_{\hbar}) B(\vec{\gamma}_{\hbar}) \times 1 = 1 \times A(\vec{\gamma}_{\hbar}) B(\vec{\gamma}_{\hbar}). \quad (12)$$

Operators $\vec{\gamma}_{\hbar}, \vec{\gamma}_{\hbar}$ are left and right regular representation operators $\hat{\gamma}$ with commutation relations (2).

As it can be seen from (11) that the operators $A_{\hbar}$ can be obtained from adjoint action of some shifting operator $A_{\hbar} = U^{-1} A U$. It should be noted that the recipe

$$A \rightarrow A_{\hbar} = U^{-1} A U \quad (13)$$

in the geometric quantization aspect is just a *prequantization procedure* for the phase-space $X = (\gamma, \omega)$. Really, prequantization on X proceed by finding a linear map from Poisson algebra of smooth function f to an algebra of operators $\hat{f}$. The recipe is $\hat{f} = f - i\hbar \nabla_{X_f}$, where X_f is Hamiltonian vector field of f (see for example Ref. [3])

Particular, for the representation (11) operator U has simple form

$$U = e^{\frac{\hbar}{2}(\overleftarrow{\partial}_q \overrightarrow{\partial}_p - \overleftarrow{\partial}_p \overrightarrow{\partial}_q)}. \quad (14)$$

The special representation of operators has very important properties: the relation between symbols and operators which is inverse to (13) can be written as follows:

$$A = \overrightarrow{A}_{\hbar} \times 1 = 1 \times \overleftarrow{A}_{\hbar} \quad (15)$$

This rule just means that all derivatives that act on nothing must be omitted, i.e. for (11) we get $(p_{\hbar}, q_{\hbar}) \times 1 = (p, q)$

Expressions (13) and (15) are mutually inverse. If we note $Q(f) = UfU^{-1}$ and $Q^{-1}(f) = 1 \times f \times 1$, then star-product (12) between two function on the phase space can be written in the following form

$$A \star B = Q^{-1}(Q(A) \circ Q(B)), \quad (16)$$

where $\circ$ is a noncommutative operator product, which is undoubtedly associative. It is not difficult to see that if the presented above construction has direct relation to the well-known Fedosov construction (see Ref. [4]). The representation $A_{\hbar}$ is the flat section of Fedosov connection corresponding to function A .

As we can see, the described construction is uniquely determined by the choice of the operator U . The form of operator U for different cases is widely discussed by many authors (see for example Ref. [5]). In all known cases the operator U has the simple exponent form and can be expressed via functions $\omega_{AB}(\gamma)$ from relations (2). The explicit form of operator U is determined by the chosen ordering prescription. In the applications, the form of the operator U is often chosen for reasons of practical needs.

According to (12), there are equivalent left and right special representations. The application of transformation rule (13) depends on chosen representation. If one decided to use right regular representation the right derivatives in operator (14) must be considered as "passive", i.e. as parameters (or as coordinates in the tangent space).

It was tested by practical calculation (see ref. [6]) that this construction can be easily generalized to any form operator algebra (2), including algebra of supersymmetry.

How we can use this representation in practice? For example we need to calculate a trace of some operator function $f(A(\hat{\gamma}))$. The recipe is given:

1. Choose transformation operator U ;
2. Find special representation $\gamma_{\hbar}$ of all operators from the basis $\hat{\gamma}$;
3. Replace all operators in $f(A(\hat{\gamma}))$ by their representation, i.e. $f(A(\gamma_{\hbar}))$;
4. Drop all derivatives after moving them to the one side;
5. Integrate the expression (symbol) over the phase-space.

The main advantages of the method can be seen from the recipe. Firstly, we have wholly covariant form of the expression. Secondly, we can expand the expression up to the interesting us degrees of a noncommutativity parameter, using the noted earlier methods.

4 Examples

To clarify the structure of the construction and to show the simplicity we consider several examples.

4.1 Curved phase space

For the electrodynamics we have the following commutation relations:

$$[\nabla_\nu, x^\mu] = \delta_\nu^\mu, \quad [\nabla_\mu, \nabla_\nu] = F_{\mu\nu}(x). \quad (17)$$

Let $ip_\mu = \sigma(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu})$, $x^\nu = \sigma(x^\nu)$ are notation for the symbols. Then symbol for covariant derivatives will be $(ip+A(x))_\nu = \sigma(\nabla_\nu)$. We have to choose the ordering prescription for the covariant derivatives. For pq -ordering prescription and symmetrical ordering prescription for covariant derivatives we can write the following transformation operator $U = e^{-i\frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial p_\mu}\overrightarrow{\nabla}_\mu}$. The operators in right regular representation have the forms

$$\begin{aligned} x_h^\mu &= x^\mu + i\frac{\overrightarrow{\partial}}{\partial p_\mu}, \\ \nabla_\mu^h &= U^{-1}(ip_\mu + A_\mu)U = ip_\mu + i\int_0^1 d\tau \tau F_{\nu\mu}(x^\rho + i\tau\frac{\partial}{\partial p_\rho})\frac{\partial}{\partial p_\nu} = \\ &= ip_\mu + \frac{i}{2}F_{\nu\mu}\frac{\partial}{\partial p_\nu} - \frac{1}{3}F_{\nu\mu,\lambda}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial p_\lambda\partial p_\nu} - \frac{i}{8}F_{\nu\mu,\lambda\sigma}\frac{\partial^3}{\partial p_\sigma\partial p_\lambda\partial p_\nu} + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

We have obtained nothing but a representation of the vector potential in the Fock-Schwinger gauge

$$A_\mu(q) = q^\nu \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n+2} \frac{1}{n!} x^{\alpha_1} \dots x^{\alpha_n} F_{\nu\mu, \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n},$$

without explicit solving the gauge condition $x^\mu A_\mu = 0$. The symbols of the operators can be found according to (4). The measure in the phase-space for (9) is $d\mu = d^4x \, d^4p$.

4.2 Flat N=1 superspace

For the supersymmetry models the starting point is the algebra of supersymmetry

$$[\partial_\nu, x^\mu] = \hbar\delta_\nu^\mu, \quad \{D_\alpha, \bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}}\} = i\partial_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} \quad \{D_\alpha, \theta^\beta\} = \delta_\alpha^\beta, \quad \{\bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}, \bar{\theta}^{\dot{\beta}}\} = \delta_{\dot{\alpha}}^{\dot{\beta}}. \quad (19)$$

We will write symbols for differential operators as follows

$$\sigma(D_\alpha) = \psi_\alpha, \quad \sigma(\bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}}) = \bar{\psi}_{\dot{\beta}}, \quad \sigma\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\alpha\dot{\beta}}}\right) = p^{\alpha\dot{\beta}}. \quad (20)$$

The transformation operator can be chosen in the form

$$U = e^{1/2\theta p\partial_{\bar{\psi}} - 1/2\partial_{\bar{\psi}} p\bar{\theta}} e^{\partial_{\bar{\psi}} D_\theta + \partial_{\bar{\psi}} \bar{D}_{\bar{\theta}} e^{i\partial_p \cdot \partial_x}}. \quad (21)$$

Such a form of operator leads to the following form of operator representation:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_h &= \psi - \frac{1}{2}\partial_{\bar{\psi}} p, & \bar{\psi}_h &= \bar{\psi} - \frac{1}{2}\partial_{\bar{\psi}} p, \\ x_h &= x + i\partial_p, & \theta_h &= \theta + \partial_{\bar{\psi}}, & \bar{\theta}_h &= \bar{\theta} + \partial_{\bar{\psi}} \end{aligned}$$

where we use short forms of derivatives $\partial_\psi = \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\psi}}$, $\partial_{\bar{\psi}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi}$ etc. It is easy to see that operators in such representation satisfy algebra (19).

The superspace measure for (9) is $d\mu = d^4x \, d^4p \, d\theta \, d\bar{\theta} \, d\psi \, d\bar{\psi}$.

4.3 Curved N=1 superspace

The algebra of covariant derivatives has a form

$$\{\nabla_{\alpha}^h, \nabla_{\dot{\alpha}}^h\} = i\nabla_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^h, \quad [\nabla_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^h, \nabla_{\beta\dot{\beta}}^h] = i(C_{\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}}f_{\beta\alpha} + C_{\beta\alpha}f_{\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}}), \quad (22)$$

$$[\nabla_{\dot{\beta}}^h, \nabla_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^h] = C_{\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}}W_{\alpha}^h, \quad [\nabla_{\dot{\alpha}}^h, W_{\alpha}^h] = 0,$$

where $W_{\alpha}^h = W_{\alpha} + \partial^{\beta}f_{\beta\alpha} - i\partial_{\alpha}D'$. The quantities f , D' are the standard notation for superfields $f_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2}\nabla_{(\alpha}W_{\beta)}$, $D' = -\frac{i}{2}\nabla^{\alpha}W_{\alpha}$, $\nabla^{\alpha}W_{\alpha} + \bar{\nabla}^{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{W}_{\dot{\alpha}} = 0$. The transformation operator can be chosen as follows:

$$U = e^{-\bar{\partial}_{\bar{\psi}}\bar{\nabla}} e^{\frac{1}{2}(\partial_{\psi}p\bar{\theta} - \theta p\bar{\partial}_{\bar{\psi}})} e^{-\partial_{\psi}\nabla} e^{-i\nabla\partial_p}. \quad (23)$$

For the presented example, the expansion of the derivatives operators are

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{\alpha}^h &= \psi_{\alpha} - \frac{1}{2}\bar{\partial}^{\dot{\alpha}}p_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} + \frac{i}{4}\bar{\partial}^{\dot{\alpha}}(\partial_{\alpha}^{\dot{\beta}}f_{\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}} + \partial_{\dot{\alpha}}^{\beta}f_{\beta\alpha}) - \frac{1}{3}\partial_{\alpha}\bar{\partial}^{\dot{\alpha}}i\bar{W}_{\dot{\alpha}}, \\ &+ \frac{1}{3}\bar{\partial}^2iW_{\alpha} + \frac{1}{4}\partial_{\alpha}\bar{\partial}^2D' + \frac{3}{4!}\bar{\partial}^2\partial^{\beta}if_{\beta\alpha} + \dots \\ \nabla_{\dot{\alpha}}^h &= \bar{\psi}_{\dot{\alpha}} - \frac{1}{2}\partial^{\alpha}p_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} + \frac{i}{4}\partial^{\alpha}(\partial_{\alpha}^{\dot{\beta}}f_{\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}} + \partial_{\dot{\alpha}}^{\beta}f_{\beta\alpha}) + \frac{1}{3}\partial^2i\bar{W}_{\dot{\alpha}} \\ &- \frac{1}{3}\bar{\partial}_{\dot{\alpha}}\partial^{\alpha}iW_{\alpha} - \frac{1}{4}\bar{\partial}_{\dot{\alpha}}\partial^2D' + \frac{3}{4!}\partial^2\bar{\partial}^{\dot{\beta}}if_{\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}} + \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Similarly, for a vector derivative we have

$$\nabla_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^h = ip_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_{\dot{\alpha}}^{\beta}f_{\alpha\beta} + \partial_{\alpha}^{\dot{\beta}}f_{\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}}) + \partial_{\alpha}\bar{W}_{\dot{\alpha}} + \bar{\partial}_{\dot{\alpha}}W_{\alpha} + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_{\alpha}\bar{\partial}^{\dot{\beta}}f_{\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}} + \bar{\partial}_{\dot{\alpha}}\partial^{\beta}f_{\beta\alpha}) + i\partial_{\alpha}\bar{\partial}_{\dot{\alpha}}D'. \quad (25)$$

All derivatives in above expressions are right and act through. Here the notations mean $\partial^{\alpha} = \frac{\partial}{\partial\psi_{\alpha}}$, $\partial_{\dot{\alpha}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\psi}_{\dot{\alpha}}}$ and ψ^{α} , $\bar{\psi}_{\dot{\alpha}}$ are the grassmanian coordinates in the momentum superspace. The super phase-space measure is coincide with given for the flat superspace.

5 Results

In this section we present the results which has been obtained by the method. This method was applied for calculating traces of evolution operators and one-loop effective action (see Refs. [6]).

It is well-known that the evaluation of the determinants of the (pseudo)differential operators always involves some kind of regularization. For actual computations of the effective action we use elegant ζ -function regularization formulated directly in (super)space. Within this regularization scheme the functional determinant $\text{Det}_{\zeta}(\hat{H}) = \exp(-\zeta'_{\hat{H}}(0))$ is preserve the symmetry and the gauge invariance. As a result the effective action looks like $\Gamma_{(1)} = -\frac{i}{2}\zeta'_{\hat{H}}(0)$ and ζ -function is defined by the expression

$$\zeta_{\hat{H}}(s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^{\infty} dT T^{s-1} \text{Tr}(e^{-\frac{T}{\mu^2}\hat{H}}) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^{\infty} dT T^{s-1} \int dz K\left(\frac{T}{\mu^2}\right), \quad (26)$$

where dz is an appropriate (super)space measure and μ is a renormalization point, which is introduced to make T dimensionless. The quantity $K(T)$ is the coincidence limit of the heat kernel which can be represented in form of Schwinger-DeWitt expansion over the proper time parameter T

$$K(T) = \frac{1}{(4\pi T)^2} \sum_{f=0}^{\infty} a_f T^f. \quad (27)$$

Here a_f are DeWitt-Seely coefficients which are the scalars constructed from the coefficients of the operator $\hat{H}$.

5.1 Massive scalar field

As the first example we shall consider a massive scalar field theory with the lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi - m^2 \phi^2 - U(\phi).$$

The heat kernel for this model after replacement of the operators has a form

$$K(T) = \int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} e^{-T(p_h^2 + V(x_h))}.$$

Then two separate problems can be studied: the problem of the decomposition over derivatives degrees and the problem of fixed degree of the potential $V = m^2 + U''$.

If we leave in decomposition only fourth order terms in derivatives, after rearranging by extracting full derivatives, we obtain the known result [7]

$$K(T) = \frac{1}{(4\pi T)^2} e^{-VT} \times \quad (28)$$

$$\times \left(1 - \frac{1}{12} T^3 V_\mu V_\mu + \frac{1}{5!} T^4 V_{\mu\nu} V_{\mu\nu} - \frac{T^5}{3 \cdot 4!} V_{\mu\nu} V_\mu V_\nu + \frac{T^6}{12 \cdot 4!} V_\mu^2 V_\nu^2 \right).$$

As the another problem we consider the second order V term. After simple manipulation we obtain

$$K(T) = \int_0^T ds_1 \int_0^{s_1} ds_2 V(x) e^{(s_1-s_2)(\square+2ip\nabla)} V(x) e^{-(s_1-s_2)(\square+2ip\nabla)}. \quad (29)$$

This leads to the expression

$$\Gamma_{(1)} \sim -\frac{1}{2} \int \frac{dT}{T} e^{-m^2 T} \int d^4 x \frac{1}{(4\pi T)^2} \frac{T^2}{2} V \gamma(T\square) V, \quad (30)$$

where the formfactor has the known representation

$$\gamma(T\square) = \int_0^1 ds e^{\frac{1-s^2}{4} T\square} \quad (31)$$

5.2 Non-abelian gauge fields

We consider of a scalar loop in the external nonabelian field with the lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \nabla_\mu \phi \nabla^\mu \phi + m^2 \phi^2; \quad \hat{H} = -\nabla^\mu \nabla_\mu + m^2.$$

According to the prescription described above, we use a ζ -function representation for one-loop EA with $H = \nabla_h^\mu \nabla_h^\mu + m^2$, where ∇_h^μ is a covariant pseudodifferential operator.

Further, performing trivial calculations and using the Bianchi identities we will get the known result [8] for on-shell background fields, i.e. $F_{\mu\nu,\nu} = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} K(T) = & \frac{e^{-Tm^2}}{(4\pi T)^2} \left(1 + \frac{T^2}{12} F_{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} + T^3 \left(\frac{F^3}{180} \right) + \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{T^4}{2 \cdot 144} \left((F_{\nu\mu} F_{\nu\mu})^2 + \frac{1}{5} \{F_{\alpha\mu}, F_{\beta\mu}\}^2 + \frac{1}{7} [F_{\alpha\beta}, F_{\mu\beta}]^2 + \frac{1}{70} [F_{\alpha\mu}, F_{\rho\sigma}]^2 \right) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

where $F^3 = F_{\mu\nu} F_{\nu\alpha} F_{\alpha\mu}$. Thus the first (after unit) term of the decomposition is related to renormalization of charge. It should be noted that the huge number of terms in the decomposition can be omitted at once, that essentially reduces work and demonstrates that computation of higher power corrections might be considerably simplified.

5.3 Wess-Zumino model

In this section we demonstrate how to apply the proposed technique to calculation one-loop EA for the supersymmetrical theories in the superfield approach. The doubtless advantage of the offered method is that this method does not require determinations of many various Green functions for calculation of the functional trace of the appropriate heat kernel. To show it, we obtain the known Kählerian potential of Wess-Zumino model and lowest order nonkählerian contributions to one-loop effective potential.

The Wess-Zumino theory described by the action

$$S(\phi, \bar{\phi}) = \int d^8 z \bar{\phi} \phi + \int d^6 z \left(\frac{m\phi^2}{2} + \frac{g}{3!} \phi^3 \right) + h.c.$$

is a good model for test of various supersymmetric methods, since it has all specific peculiarities of the theories with chiral fields.

It is convenient to introduce unlimited superfields $\phi = \bar{D}^2 \psi$ and $\bar{\phi} = D^2 \bar{\psi}$. In principle this introduce a new gauge invariance into the action, but in the absence of background gauge fields, the ghost associated with this gauge fixing are decoupled. The functional integration over $\psi, \bar{\psi}$ leads to a determination of the effective action in the form $-\frac{i}{2} Tr \ln(\hat{H}(x, \theta, D))$ with the kinetic operator for the given model

$$\hat{H} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & \bar{D}^2 \\ D^2 & \bar{\lambda} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{D}^2 & 0 \\ 0 & D^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{where} \quad \lambda = m + g\phi_{(BG)}. \quad (33)$$

Limiting ourselves to a problem of calculation of the first correction to the potential in decomposition over Grassmanian derivatives, we can find the Kählerian potential

$$K^{(1)} = \int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{1}{2p^2} \ln \left(1 + \frac{\lambda \bar{\lambda}}{p^2} \right). \quad (34)$$

Also the known result for the non Kählerian terms (auxiliary fields potential) [10], leading to quantum deformations of classical vacuum of the theory

$$F^{(1)} = \frac{1}{3 \cdot 2^7} \frac{D^\alpha \lambda D_\alpha \lambda \bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\lambda} \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\lambda}}{\lambda^2 \bar{\lambda}^2} \quad (35)$$

can be found. Certainly, such quantum corrections are important in $\mathcal{N} = 1, 2$ supersymmetrical models, since they lead potentially to the removal of degeneration in classical vacua of the theory.

5.4 Heisenberg-Euler lagrangian in SQED

Now we consider develop manifestly supersymmetrical gauge invariant strategy of calculations of one-loop effective action for the most general renormalizable $N = 1$ models including Yang-Mills fields and chiral supermultiplets

$$\mathcal{S} = \text{tr} \int d^6 z W^2 + \int d^8 z \bar{\Phi} e^V \Phi + \left[\int d^6 z P(\Phi) + h.c. \right]$$

In more detail we consider the one-loop diagrams only with external abelian superfields and the expansion in terms of spinor covariant derivatives of superfields $W, \bar{W}$ which can not be reduced to usual space-time derivatives. This approximation corresponds to generalization of the Heisenberg-Euler Lagrangian of usual QED.

The results coincides with the result of [9], obtained by essentially different methods.

$$\Gamma_{(1)} = \int d^8 z W^2 \int_0^\infty \frac{dT}{T} e^{-Tm^2} \frac{\cosh(TD') - \cosh(T\mathcal{H}_-)}{D'^2 - \mathcal{H}_-^2} K(T)_{Schw}, \quad (36)$$

where $\mathcal{H}_-$ is defined as follows

$$\mathcal{H}_\pm = (\lambda_1 \pm i\lambda_2)^2 = \frac{1}{2}(f^2 \mp if^*f), \quad (37)$$

and

$$K(T)_{Schw} = \frac{1}{(4\pi T)^2} [\det \frac{fT}{\sinh(fT)}]^{1/2}. \quad (38)$$

In the last example we will consider contributions from only the quantum gauge field V . After splitting the field into a background and quantum part, the quadratic over vector field SYM action in Fermi - Feynman gauge is

$$S = -\frac{1}{2g^2} \text{Tr} \left(V[\square - iW^\alpha \nabla_\alpha - i\bar{W}^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\nabla}_{\dot{\alpha}}] V \right).$$

Performing the calculation we can find the final result

$$K(T) = W^2 \bar{W}^2 \det\left(\frac{e^{TN} - 1}{N}\right) \det\left(\frac{e^{T\bar{N}} - 1}{N}\right) \frac{1}{(4\pi T)^2} [\det \frac{TF}{\sinh(TF)}]^{1/2}, \quad (39)$$

where $N_\alpha^\beta = iD_\alpha W^\beta$, $\bar{N}_{\dot{\alpha}}^{\dot{\beta}} = i\bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{W}^{\dot{\beta}}$. Such Heisenberg-Euler lagrangians in the superfield form, which involve higher derivatives, are important for analysis of quantum structure $\mathcal{N} = 2, 4$ superconformal actions [11].

6 Resume

In the present talk it was shortly demonstrated key ideas of the elegant and effective technique for calculation of the trace expansion in the derivatives of background fields.

Some particular examples and result were presented. They demonstrate universality of the proposed technique.

7 Acknowledgments

Authors are extremely grateful to Dr. M.Bordag for his invitation to the Workshop and for the financial support, which made possible this participation. Author A.Banin is also grateful to Dr. A.Ernst for his assistance. The work was supported by INTAS grant, INTAS-00-00254 and by RFBR 99-02-17211, RFBR 00-15-96691 grants.

References

- [1] F.A.Berezin and M.A.Shubin, Schrödinger equation, Moskow State University, Moskov, 1983
- [2] T.A. Osborn and F.H. Molzahn, Ann.Phys. 241 (1995) 79; O. Arratia, M. Martn and M. del Olmo, "Deformation in Phase space", math-ph/9805016
- [3] José M.Gracia-Bonda, Joseph C. Várilly, hep-th/9406170
- [4] B. Fedosov, J. Diff. Geom. 40 (1994) 213; Sov. Phys. Dokl. 34 (1989) 318
- [5] M.V. Karasev and T.A. Osborn, "Symplectic areas, quantization and dynamics in electromagnetic fields", quant-ph/0002041
- [6] N.G. Pletnev, A.T. Banin, Phys. Rev. D60, (1999) 105017; A.T.Banin, I.L.Buchbinder, N.G.Pletnev, Nucl.Phys. B598 (2001) 371
- [7] D.Fliegner, P.Haberl, M.G.Schmidt, C.Schubert hep-th/9411177; Ann. Phys. 264 (1998) 51, hep-th/9707189; D.Fliegner, M.G.Schmidt and Schubert Z. Phys. C64 (1994) 111, hep-ph/9401221
- [8] V.A.Novikov, M.A. Schifman, A.I. Vainstein, V.I. Zakharov, Fortsch. Phys. 32 (1985) 585; JETP Lett. 35 (1982) 323; Journ. of Nucl. Phys.(sov) 39 (1984) 124; R.D.Ball, Phys. Rep. 182 (1989) 1
- [9] K.Shizuya and Y.Yasui, Phys. Rev. D29 (1984) 1160; Th.Ohrndorf, Nucl. Phys. B (1986)273; Phys. Lett. 176B (1986) 421; I.N.Mc Arthur and T.D.Gargett, Nucl. Phys. B494 (1997) 525, hep-th/9705200
- [10] A.Pickering and P.West, Phys. Lett. B374 (1996) 297, hep-th/9604147; I.Buchbinder, S.Kuzenko and J.Yarevskaya, Journ. of Nucl. Phys. (sov) 56 (1993) 202; I.Buchbinder, S.Kuzenko and A.Petrov, Phys. Lett. B321 (1994) 372; I.L. Buchbinder, S. Kuzenko, Zh. Yarevskaya, Nucl. Phys. B411 (1994) 665
- [11] I.L. Buchbinder, S.M. Kuzenko, A.A. Tseytlin, Phys.Rev. D62 (2000) 045001